



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,027	05/06/2005	Estanislao Martinez Gomez	Q-87652	3379
23373	7590	10/17/2008	EXAMINER	
SUGHTRUE MION, PLLC			WALKER, NED ANDREW	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/534,027	MARTINEZ GOMEZ, ESTANISLAO
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	NED A. WALKER	3781

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NED A. WALKER. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) CHRISTOPHER PFISTER. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 October 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant called regarding a discrepancy in the Office Action mailed September 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2008. The Examiner confirmed that an error exists in the Office Action. Specifically, the reference Hanafusa et al. is listed as having the incorrect Pat. No. The correct associated patent number for this reference is US Pat. No. 4,913,305. The Examiner thanks the Applicant for pointing out the oversight and apologizes for any confusion.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Anthony D Stashick/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3781
--	--